Negative: EU Immigration Problems - Too Big to Solve

By “Coach Vance” Trefethen

***Resolved: The European Union should substantially reform its immigration policy.***

AFFs may run any number of squirrely cases to which you have no specific on-case brief responses. This NEG brief is a generic NEG brief giving numerous reasons why there are substantial barriers to reform of EU immigration policies that will probably block any reform from succeeding. It’s hopeless to try to fix EU immigration policies, so we shouldn’t waste time trying to reform them or even debating it.

Negative: EU Immigration Problems - Too Big to Solve 3

NEGATIVE PHILOSOPHY 3

Do no harm 3

SOLVENCY 3

1. More Study Needed about conflicting attitudes 3

We have to study how and why public attitudes differ across EU countries before sustainable solutions can be found 3

2. Solutions versus Attitudes 4

Consultations and negotiations across the EU are needed before a solution can be implemented. Otherwise, the reforms are not sustainable 4

3. Can’t solve without USA 4

Even if the EU came together as one, it wouldn’t be enough to solve immigration problems. They would need help from the USA 4

4. Can’t solve without foreign aid 5

Large increase in foreign aid is required to solve immigration crisis. Reform of immigration policy alone won’t solve 5

5. Enforcement / Compliance failures at the local level 6

Cities are where asylum/refugee policies are implemented, and they can undermine EU policies if they don’t agree with them 6

DISADVANTAGES 6

BIG LINK TO EVERYTHING 6

Any discussion of EU immigration reform will disintegrate the EU 6

1. Reduced world stability from fracturing the EU 6

Example: Disagreement over immigration policy was a major reason Britain left the EU 6

Brink: EU faces an uncertain future with multiple crises threatening unity and increasing division 7

Link: EU stability promotes US ability to maintain global security and stability 7

Impact: World peace & prosperity at risk without US influence. US hegemony is key to global peace & prosperity 7

2. Populism and Authoritarian Government 7

Link: AFF plan weakens / fractures the EU 7

Link: Fear, anxiety and skepticism about the EU leads to the rise of populist authoritarian figures 8

Brink & Example: Hungary now has authoritarian government, putting EU at high risk right now 8

Link & Impact: Weak EU leads to rise of dictatorship and loss of freedom for millions more 8

3. Economic recession (from weakening / fracturing the EU) 9

Link: AFF plan weakens / fractures the EU with greater division 9

Link: EU unity is necessary for beneficial trade deals 9

Brink: Cracks already being seen in EU unity, and even partial non-cooperation will eventually bleed it to death 9

Impact: Devastating economic impact. Financial recession 9

Past precedent: Brexit caused serious economic damage 10

4. Russia gains influence 10

Link: AFF divides / weakens the EU 10

Link: Russia uses EU division to advance its agenda and gain influence to accomplish bad things 10

Impact: Russian influence damages democracy, promotes authoritarian rule 11

Works Cited 12

Negative: EU Immigration Problems - Too Big to Solve

NEGATIVE PHILOSOPHY

Do no harm

Prof. Alan Blinder 2016 (Professor of Economics and codirector of Center for Economic Policy Studies at Princeton Univ.; former vice chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and was a member of Pres. Clinton's Council of Economic Advisers ) 25 Oct 2016 “Message to the candidates: Hands off the Federal Reserve” <https://www.brookings.edu/opinions/message-to-the-candidates-hands-off-the-federal-reserve/>

The presidential campaign may not be missing much by skipping a debate over monetary policy and the Federal Reserve — especially if that debate would resemble Donald Trump’s ignorant potshots at its chair, Janet Yellen. Instead, the nation would do well to remember Hippocrates’s wise counsel: “First, do no harm.”

SOLVENCY

1. More Study Needed about conflicting attitudes

We have to study how and why public attitudes differ across EU countries before sustainable solutions can be found

*Mikkel Barslund, Matthias Lucke, Martin Ruhs 2019 (Barslund: Research fellow at Centre for European Policy Studies, manages European Network of Economic Policy Research Institutes; Lucke: senior researcher at Kiel Institute for World Economy, former senior economist at IMF; Ruhs: Chair in Migration Studies and Deputy Director of Migration Policy Centre at European University Institute) (no month cited in the article date) “Rethinking EU migration and asylum policies: Managing immigration jointly with countries of origin and transit” MEDAM (Mercator Dialogue on Asylum and Migration)* [*https://www.ceps.eu/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/MEDAM-assessment-report-2019.pdf*](https://www.ceps.eu/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/MEDAM-assessment-report-2019.pdf)

A third reason why a better understanding of public attitudes and policy preferences is critical to improved policy making relates to the challenge of designing sustainable common migration policy approaches across the EU. Almost five years after the large inflows of asylum seekers and other migrants during the so-called refugee crisis in 2015–16, member states remain deeply divided about how to reform and rebuild Europe’s asylum, refugee, and migration policies. Some member states see the solution to the immigration challenge as lying in ‘more Europe’ (e.g., through centralization of the EU asylum system) and ‘greater solidarity’ among member states (e.g., through redistribution of refugees across countries). Other member states appear to have given up waiting on EU policy reform and instead pursued national or transnational policy responses, involving just a few ‘like-minded’ EU member states (e.g., joint measures by Austria and nine Balkan states in 2016 to help ‘close down’ the Western Balkan route, and proposals by Austria and Denmark to limit severely the right to apply for asylum in Europe). This has further deepened divisions and raised profound questions not only about the meaning of ‘solidarity’ in Europe but also about the future of the EU and its ability to find common ground on a fundamental and, some would argue, existential policy challenge. To find an effective and sustainable new EU policy approach to asylum and migration we need to understand how and why public attitudes to migration and migration policies differ across individuals and countries, and what role these differences play in the politics of migration across EU member states. Cross-country differences in attitudes that are due to underlying structural factors that cannot be changed in the short run can have notable implications for how to design common EU policies on asylum and migration. Arguably, policy debates in recent years have not paid enough attention to these potential variations across EU member states, or the implications for common policy making.

2. Solutions versus Attitudes

**An Affirmative team can declare that their plan goes into effect by “fiat” when the Judge signs an Affirmative ballot. But they cannot fiat that everyone in the EU will agree with their plan. Fiating a solution isn’t the same as fiating attitudes. Support for new policies across nations as diverse as the EU is essential or else the policies will simply drop by the wayside, be implemented poorly, or be actively opposed by those who don’t understand them.**

Consultations and negotiations across the EU are needed before a solution can be implemented. Otherwise, the reforms are not sustainable

*Mikkel Barslund, Matthias Lucke, Martin Ruhs 2019 (Barslund: Research fellow at Centre for European Policy Studies, manages European Network of Economic Policy Research Institutes; Lucke: senior researcher at Kiel Institute for World Economy, former senior economist at IMF; Ruhs: Chair in Migration Studies and Deputy Director of Migration Policy Centre at European University Institute) (no month cited in the article date) “Rethinking EU migration and asylum policies: Managing immigration jointly with countries of origin and transit” MEDAM (Mercator Dialogue on Asylum and Migration)* [*https://www.ceps.eu/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/MEDAM-assessment-report-2019.pdf*](https://www.ceps.eu/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/MEDAM-assessment-report-2019.pdf)

Rethinking EU asylum and migration policies along these lines requires extensive consultations and nego-tiations among stakeholders in Europe and in countries of origin and transit. Our ‘insights’ are meant to inform and stimulate such conversations. However, sustainable reforms will come only as the result of stakeholders working out the details and developing a sense of ownership of the necessary reforms.

3. Can’t solve without USA

AFF’s may respond to the arguments above by arguing that “fiat” power overcomes divisions within the EU and their policies will get implemented. This evidence says that “even if” they overcame all their divisions, they still couldn’t solve their immigration problems without help from the United States. And any action by the U.S. would be extra-topical, since the Resolution doesn’t allow Affirmatives to make the US do anything.

Even if the EU came together as one, it wouldn’t be enough to solve immigration problems. They would need help from the USA

Dr. James Carafano 2020. (master's degree and doctorate from Georgetown University as well as a master's degree in strategy from the U.S. Army War College. Adjunct professor at Georgetown Univ and visiting professor at National Defense University) 6 March 2020 “Europe No Longer Welcoming Refugees—Some Likely Carry Coronavirus” <https://www.heritage.org/europe/commentary/europe-no-longer-welcoming-refugees-some-likely-carry-coronavirus>


The migration challenges and other problems coming out of the Middle East and Africa and spilling over into their northern neighbors will be an endemic issue for Europe for some time to come. Right now there is no “one” Europe when it comes to dealing with these dilemmas. Even if there were, it wouldn’t be enough. In all of this, Europe’s last best hope is its partnership with the United States. Only the Transatlantic Community, working together, can bring the capacity and capability needed to meet these challenges.

4. Can’t solve without foreign aid

**The root cause of migration to Europe is poverty in poor countries, and no immigration policy can solve that. Europe has to do foreign aid to solve. And foreign aid is extra-topical, so no AFF immigration policy can solve.**

Large increase in foreign aid is required to solve immigration crisis. Reform of immigration policy alone won’t solve

Ian Kearns 2018 (co-founder, former director and board member of the European Leadership Network, a pan-European group of senior political, military and diplomatic leaders. Former specialist advisor to the Joint House of Commons/House of Lords Committee on National Security Strategy. Former deputy chair and director of secretariat to former NATO Secretary General George Robertson) Collapse: Europe After The European Union (month not given in the published material) <https://books.google.com/books?id=ZVBSDwAAQBAJ&pg=PT223&lpg=PT223&dq=EU+immigration+reform+hopeless&source=bl&ots=79cHvPH2qu&sig=ACfU3U3diw6xbMj9V9bRAyJPoyV-5N1epA&hl=en&sa=X&ved=2ahUKEwjswYPI-ZrqAhWyneAKHfCQBCE4ChDoATAAegQIChAB#v=onepage&q=EU%20immigration%20reform%20hopeless&f=false>



5. Enforcement / Compliance failures at the local level

Cities are where asylum/refugee policies are implemented, and they can undermine EU policies if they don’t agree with them

Birgit Glorius and Jeroen Doomernik 2020. (Glorius - Institute of European Studies and History, Chemnitz, Germany. Doomernik - Institute for Migration and Ethnic Studies, University of Amsterdam) (month of publication not given in the article) <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2F978-3-030-25666-1.pdf>

The admission and reception of asylum seekers is obviously a matter for multiple governance levels. While EU laws lay the ground for entry into the EU space, defining member states’ responsibilities for the handling of asylum applications and giving guidelines for the asylum procedures, it is the local level where asylum seekers are allocated, and where practical questions regarding accommodation, health issues, education or social integration have to be tackled. Given the fact that asylum policies are shaped at the national and supra-national governance levels, there is an obvious mismatch between the role of localities as being the major places of reception and integration of asylum seekers on the one hand, and their limited role in the decision-making process around whether to take in asylum seekers or not, and how (and at which point of the asylum procedure) they can shape asylum seekers’ paths to long-term integration. However, against the backdrop of the formal structure of governance, localities can carry out further functions beyond those set out by law, and can even undermine the reception system as designed by national or EU norms. The (counter-)active role of the local level is particularly evident in the case of networks of cities claiming a greater role in the asylum decision-making processes at the EU level by becoming ‘cities of refuge’ (Eurocities 2015; Doomernik and Ardon 2018).

DISADVANTAGES

BIG LINK TO EVERYTHING

Any discussion of EU immigration reform will disintegrate the EU

Bodo Weber 2020 (senior associate of the [Democratization Policy Council](http://www.democratizationpolicy.org/), based in Berlin) 9 March 2020 “Threat to EU on Greece-Turkey border is EU-made” <https://euobserver.com/opinion/147672>

The current narrative's complete avoidance of core questions is astounding. Reasoned, rational discussion seems to now be a collective pan-European taboo. EU leaders evidently fear that just by opening up such discussion, the Union would disintegrate. In September 2015, when some of the Visegrád countries declared they would not implement the EU's legally-binding relocation scheme, the Union collapsed as a legal entity in the area of asylum and migration.

1. Reduced world stability from fracturing the EU

Example: Disagreement over immigration policy was a major reason Britain left the EU

Max Boot 2016 (leading military historian and foreign policy analyst. Jeane J. Kirkpatrick Senior Fellow in National Security Studies at the Council on Foreign Relations in New York) July 2016 “Brexit: Isolationism or Atlanticism?”  <https://www.hoover.org/sites/default/files/issues/resources/strategika_issue_33_web.pdf>

Britons might never have voted to leave the European Union had it not been for the refugee crisis that hit Europe as a result of the Syrian civil war. Even though Britain has accepted only some 5,000 Syrian refugees, German premier Angela Merkel agreed to take in 800,000, thus fueling fears across the continent of an influx of possible terrorists. Those fears were exploited by elements of the “Leave” campaign, principally Nigel Farage and the UK Independence Party, and no doubt contributed crucial momentum to the final outcome.

Brink: EU faces an uncertain future with multiple crises threatening unity and increasing division

Meagan Araki, Annie Chang, Troy Lindell, Alison Wendler 2017. (members of the “Challenges to European Unity Task Force” at the Henry M. Jackson School of International Studies, Univ. of Washington) March 2017 CHALLENGES TO EUROPEAN UNITY: OPTIONS FOR U.S. POLICYMAKERS <https://jsis.washington.edu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/Task-Force-J-Report-2017_Lorenz.pdf>

Today, Europe faces an uncertain future. The migration crisis, rise in terrorism, economic downturn, mounting external pressures and a responsive populist movement, have threatened the basis of European stability. The major influx of refugees into Europe has placed immense pressure on the EU’s infrastructure and capacity to integrate refugees into the European identity. Europe has experienced a growing number of terrorist attacks, leading to nationalist and xenophobic policies. Additionally, Russia’s encroachment into Eastern Europe has strained Russia and Europe’s relationship. The Euro crisis has furthered the divide between the core and peripheral EU countries, revealing the inequality between European citizens and growing stagnant employment and growth opportunities.

Link: EU stability promotes US ability to maintain global security and stability

Meagan Araki, Annie Chang, Troy Lindell, Alison Wendler 2017. (members of the “Challenges to European Unity Task Force” at the Henry M. Jackson School of International Studies, Univ. of Washington) March 2017 CHALLENGES TO EUROPEAN UNITY: OPTIONS FOR U.S. POLICYMAKERS <https://jsis.washington.edu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/Task-Force-J-Report-2017_Lorenz.pdf>

Policies that encourage the unity between EU member states should be strongly supported. It is significant that the United States demonstrate support in this ongoing migration crisis, as it will help relieve the pressures member states are experiencing, as well as help mend existing tensions. The cohesion, stability, and cooperation of European Union serve a key interests of the United States. With these aspects, a more unified European Union can strengthen its position as a global actor, as well as strengthen its existing relations with the United States. This can further United States’ objectives of security and stability throughout the international community.

Impact: World peace & prosperity at risk without US influence. US hegemony is key to global peace & prosperity

Capt. M. V. Prato 2009 (United States Marine Corps,Command and Staff College, Marine Corps Combat Development Command,Marine Corps University) “The Need for American Hegemony” Feb 2009 http://www.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a508040.pdf

The world witnessed a vast shift in the polarity of geopolitics after the Cold War. The United States became the world’s greatest hegemon with an unequalled ability to globally project cultural, political, economic, and military power in a manner not seen since the days of the Roman Empire. Coined the “unipolar moment” by syndicated columnist Charles Krauthammer, the disparity of power between the U.S. and all other nations allows the U.S. to influence the world for the mutual benefit of all responsible states. Unfortunately, the United States is increasingly forced to act unilaterally as a result of both foreign and domestic resentment to U.S. dominance and the rise of liberal internationalism. The United States must exercise benevolent global hegemony, unilaterally if necessary, to ensure its security and maintain global peace and prosperity.

2. Populism and Authoritarian Government

Link: AFF plan weakens / fractures the EU

Cross apply the BIG LINK.

Link: Fear, anxiety and skepticism about the EU leads to the rise of populist authoritarian figures

Meagan Araki, Annie Chang, Troy Lindell, Alison Wendler 2017. (members of the “Challenges to European Unity Task Force” at the Henry M. Jackson School of International Studies, Univ. of Washington) March 2017 CHALLENGES TO EUROPEAN UNITY: OPTIONS FOR U.S. POLICYMAKERS <https://jsis.washington.edu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/Task-Force-J-Report-2017_Lorenz.pdf>

Additionally, the complications surrounding EU accession and instability in Turkey and the Balkans present unique challenges to the dynamic of the EU. These real and perceived threats have induced fear and anxiety into the European public. As these security threats have worsened with little to no progress made, Euroscepticism has grown and enabled the populist movement. By capitalizing on this sentiment, populist parties have gained increasing support throughout Europe. Populists promise to take back power from the corrupt and inefficient political elite, and give it back to the general public. Europeans have increasingly turned to strongman figures who value strength and security over tolerance and unity.

Brink & Example: Hungary now has authoritarian government, putting EU at high risk right now

Philippe Dam 2020 (master’s degree in international administration; Human Rights Watch’s advocacy director for Europe and Central Asia) 1 Apr 2020 “Hungary’s Authoritarian Takeover Puts European Union at Risk” <https://www.hrw.org/news/2020/04/01/hungarys-authoritarian-takeover-puts-european-union-risk>

On Monday, under the pretext of addressing the COVID-19 public health emergency, [Hungary's](https://www.hrw.org/europe/central-asia/hungary) parliament gave [green light to the Orban-led government](https://www.hrw.org/news/2020/03/23/hungarys-orban-uses-pandemic-seize-unlimited-power) to rule with unlimited power for an indefinite time. Prime Minister Viktor Orban can now suspend any existing law and implement others by decree, without parliamentary or judicial scrutiny. Elections have been suspended. The law allows for new criminal penalties of five years in prison for publishing vaguely defined “false” or “distorted” facts – another blow to media freedom in the country. With this law, Hungary becomes the first country in the European Union to virtually abolish all democratic checks-and-balances. How has it come to this? In the past [10 years](https://www.hrw.org/report/2013/05/16/wrong-direction-rights/assessing-impact-hungarys-new-constitution-and-laws), the government has spared no efforts to [curb judicial independence](https://www.hrw.org/europe/central-asia/hungary), restrict [civil society](https://www.hrw.org/news/2018/07/17/hungary-determined-silence-any-critics-left-standing) activities, and gain near full [control over the media](https://www.euronews.com/2019/06/12/don-t-be-fooled-hungary-s-government-remains-a-threat-to-european-values-view). Having repeatedly failed to appreciate the gravity of the situation, EU institutions risk making the same mistake again.

Link & Impact: Weak EU leads to rise of dictatorship and loss of freedom for millions more

Kenneth Roth 2020 (executive director of Human Rights Watch, one of the world's leading international human rights organizations; former federal prosecutor in New York; graduate of Yale Law School) 27 Apr 2020 “Stopping the Authoritarian Rot in Europe” [https://www.hrw.org/news/2020/04/27/stopping-authoritarian-rot-europe#](https://www.hrw.org/news/2020/04/27/stopping-authoritarian-rot-europe)

Rot tends to spread when it encounters no resistance. Dictator wannabes prey upon weakness. EU and member state leaders now need to ask themselves: is the EU only a trading bloc or also a club of democracies? The answer to that question used to be obvious. Sadly, it no longer is. Ten million EU citizens now live under authoritarian rule. How many millions more will have to suffer the loss of their freedoms before Europe’s leaders draw the line?

3. Economic recession (from weakening / fracturing the EU)

Link: AFF plan weakens / fractures the EU with greater division

Cross-apply the BIG LINK.

Link: EU unity is necessary for beneficial trade deals

Julian Bonte-Friedheim 2020 (head writer at The Perspective) “IS THE EU BETTER OFF DIVIDED OR TOGETHER?” (month not given in the published article)  <https://www.theperspective.com/debates/businessandtechnology/is-the-eu-better-off-divided-or-together/>

Better trade deals can be negotiated from within the EU. For any European nation, negotiating trade deals with other countries is much more advantageous as part of the EU rather than as an independent economy. As one of the world’s [biggest economic unions](https://www.thebalance.com/world-s-largest-economy-3306044), the EU has a lot more leverage when brokering a deal with China or India. Being able to offer (or withhold) access to its many consumers is a strong bargaining tool. Additionally, there is [free trading](https://www.ft.com/content/1688d0e4-15ef-11e6-b197-a4af20d5575e) between members of the EU, as it is a customs union. Individual countries, while able to create their own terms, are unlikely to reach deals as beneficial as the EU does on its own.

Brink: Cracks already being seen in EU unity, and even partial non-cooperation will eventually bleed it to death

Hans Vollaard 2020 ( Lecturer in Dutch and European Politics at Utrecht University, the Netherlands.) “One down, many to go? European disintegration after Brexit” 23 March 2020  [https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/brexit/2020/03/23/one-down-many-to-go-european-disintegration-after-brexit/](https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/brexit/2020/03/23/one-down-many-to-go-european-disintegration-after-brexit/" \t "_blank)

Even though there may not be other instances of European disintegration like Brexit, dissatisfaction may lead to other forms of disintegration. Not by countries leaving the EU entirely, but only partially. These partial exits involve member states not complying with the EU rules, for instance with respect to public finances in the Eurozone (Italy), or the Schengen rules, many member states have introduced “temporary” national border surveillance since the migration crisis of 2015. Another partial exit is the desire to pay less money to ‘Brussels’, such as expressed by the so-called Hanseatic group of EU member states led by the Netherlands. Disintegration can also occur involuntarily, when one member state wants to exclude another member state, such as the calls to push Greece out of the euro or the Schengen area. These partial forms of disintegration undermine the functioning of the EU. Its rules are less respected, and it gets fewer resources to function properly. In such a scenario, the EU would gradually ‘bleed to death’.

Impact: Devastating economic impact. Financial recession

Mauro Guillen 2016 (holder of the Zandman Endowed Professorship in International Management at the Wharton School. He served as Director of the Lauder Institute of Management & International Studies between 2007 and 2019. PhD in sociology from Yale University and a Doctorate in political economy from the University of Oviedo in Spain.) 13 June 2016 “On the Brink: How a Brexit Could Fracture a Fragile Europe” <https://knowledge.wharton.upenn.edu/article/on-the-brink-how-brexit-could-fracture-a-fragile-europe/>

The European Union is the largest economy in the world. It’s not as rich as the U.S., but it is bigger in terms of gross domestic product if you combine those 28 countries. If there is a crisis of confidence that undermines consumer spending and business confidence, then you are going to get into maybe even a third recession. That would be devastating for Europe itself, but it would be really bad for everybody else in the world that has business with Europe, including the United States. Exporters to Europe and American companies that have investments in Europe are going to suffer. Companies such as GE or GM or Boeing, 20% to 30% of their business is in Europe, so it could have a large impact.

Past precedent: Brexit caused serious economic damage

Kimberly Amadeo 2020 (over 20 years of senior-level corporate experience in economic analysis and business strategy. She is a U.S. Economy expert for The Balance and president of WorldMoneyWatch, which produces publications about the global economy) “Brexit Consequences for the U.K., the EU, and the United States”  last updated 14 Mar 2020 <https://www.thebalance.com/brexit-consequences-4062999>

The day after the Brexit vote, the currency markets were in turmoil. The [euro fell 2% to $1.11](https://www.thebalance.com/what-is-the-euro-to-dollar-conversion-its-history-3306091).﻿ The pound fell 8% to $1.36.﻿ Both increased the [value of the dollar](https://www.thebalance.com/value-of-us-dollar-3306268). That strength is not good for U.S. [stock markets](https://www.thebalance.com/what-is-the-stock-market-how-it-works-3305893). It makes American shares more expensive for foreign investors. A weak pound also makes U.S. exports to the U.K. more expensive. The United States has an $18.9 billion trade surplus with the U.K. In 2018, it exported $141 billion while importing $122 billion.﻿ Brexit could turn this surplus into a deficit if a weak pound makes U.K. imports more competitive. Brexit dampens business growth for companies that operate in Europe. U.S. companies invested $758 billion in the U.K. in 2018.﻿ Most of this was the finance sector with some manufacturing. These companies use the U.K. as the gateway to free trade with the EU nations. U.K. businesses invested $561 billion in the United States. Brexit puts at risk jobs in both countries. In addition, there were 716,000 U.K. immigrants in the United States and 215,000 U.S. immigrants in the U.K. in 2019.


4. Russia gains influence

Link: AFF divides / weakens the EU

Cross-apply the BIG LINK.

Link: Russia uses EU division to advance its agenda and gain influence to accomplish bad things

Ian Kearns 2018 (co-founder, former director and board member of the European Leadership Network, a pan-European group of senior political, military and diplomatic leaders. Former specialist advisor to the Joint House of Commons/House of Lords Committee on National Security Strategy. Former deputy chair and director of secretariat to former NATO Secretary General George Robertson) Collapse: Europe After The European Union (no month given in the published article) [https://books.google.com/books?id=ZVBSDwAAQBAJ&pg=PT223&lpg=PT223&dq=EU+immigration+reform+hopeless&source=bl&ots=79cHvPH2qu&sig=ACfU3U3diw6xbMj9V9bRAyJPoyV-5N1epA&hl=en&sa=X&ved=2ahUKEwjswYPI-ZrqAhWyneAKHfCQBCE4ChDoATAAegQIChAB#v=onepage&q=EU%20immigration%20reform%20hopeless&f=false](https://books.google.com/books?id=ZVBSDwAAQBAJ&pg=PT223&lpg=PT223&dq=EU+immigration+reform+hopeless&source=bl&ots=79cHvPH2qu&sig=ACfU3U3diw6xbMj9V9bRAyJPoyV-5N1epA&hl=en&sa=X&ved=2ahUKEwjswYPI-ZrqAhWyneAKHfCQBCE4ChDoATAAegQIChAB" \l "v=onepage&q=EU%20immigration%20reform%20hopeless&f=false)



Impact: Russian influence damages democracy, promotes authoritarian rule

Geir Hagen Karlsen 2019 (Lieutenant Colonel and Lecturer, Norwegian Defence University College) 8 Feb 2019 “Divide and rule: ten lessons about Russian political influence activities in Europe” <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-019-0227-8>

Russia is an authoritarian and corrupt state that regards the EU and, more specifically, NATO, as a challenge, a competitor and a threat. Its influence activities are malicious, undermining alliances and creating distrust, weakening what Moscow sees as their opponents and thus ensuring the survival of this authoritarian regime. Their interference is worrisome at several levels. First, Russia is undermining core democratic processes, like elections, and trust in the political system and its institutions. Second, their disinformation and manipulation of media and social media is directly undermining the political discourse, essential to democracy. Third, this is further exacerbated by their malicious attacks on individuals, like the Finnish journalist Jessika Aro, who has been tracked and harassed systematically after exposing Russian trolling of social media (Aro, [2015](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-019-0227-8#ref-CR3)). However, the overall Russian approach is simple, divide and rule.

Works Cited

1. Prof. Alan Blinder 2016 (Professor of Economics and codirector of Center for Economic Policy Studies at Princeton Univ.; former vice chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and was a member of Pres. Clinton's Council of Economic Advisers ) 25 Oct 2016 “Message to the candidates: Hands off the Federal Reserve” https://www.brookings.edu/opinions/message-to-the-candidates-hands-off-the-federal-reserve/
2. Dr. James Carafano 2020. (master's degree and doctorate from Georgetown University as well as a master's degree in strategy from the U.S. Army War College. He is an adjunct professor at Georgetown University and serves as a visiting professor at National Defense University) 6 March 2020 “Europe No Longer Welcoming Refugees—Some Likely Carry Coronavirus” https://www.heritage.org/europe/commentary/europe-no-longer-welcoming-refugees-some-likely-carry-coronavirus
3. Bodo Weber2020 (senior associate of the Democratization Policy Council, based in Berlin ) 9 March 2020 “Threat to EU on Greece-Turkey border is EU-made” https://euobserver.com/opinion/147672
4. Max Boot 2016 (Max Boot is a leading military historian and foreign policy analyst. He is the Jeane J. Kirkpatrick Senior Fellow in National Security Studies at the Council on Foreign Relations in New York) “Brexit: Isolationism or Atlanticism?”  https://www.hoover.org/sites/default/files/issues/resources/strategika\_issue\_33\_web.pdf
5. Meagan Araki, Annie Chang, Troy Lindell, Alison Wendler 2017. (members of the “Challenges to European Unity Task Force” at the Henry M. Jackson School of International Studies, Univ. of Washington) March 2017 CHALLENGES TO EUROPEAN UNITY: OPTIONS FOR U.S. POLICYMAKERS https://jsis.washington.edu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/Task-Force-J-Report-2017\_Lorenz.pdf
6. Meagan Araki, Annie Chang, Troy Lindell, Alison Wendler 2017. (members of the “Challenges to European Unity Task Force” at the Henry M. Jackson School of International Studies, Univ. of Washington) March 2017 CHALLENGES TO EUROPEAN UNITY: OPTIONS FOR U.S. POLICYMAKERS https://jsis.washington.edu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/Task-Force-J-Report-2017\_Lorenz.pdf
7. Capt. M. V. Prato 2009 (United States Marine Corps,Command and Staff College, Marine Corps Combat Development Command,Marine Corps University) “The Need for American Hegemony” http://www.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a508040.pdf
8. Meagan Araki, Annie Chang, Troy Lindell, Alison Wendler 2017. (members of the “Challenges to European Unity Task Force” at the Henry M. Jackson School of International Studies, Univ. of Washington) March 2017 CHALLENGES TO EUROPEAN UNITY: OPTIONS FOR U.S. POLICYMAKERS https://jsis.washington.edu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/Task-Force-J-Report-2017\_Lorenz.pdf
9. Philippe Dam 2020 (master’s degree in international administration; Human Rights Watch’s advocacy director for Europe and Central Asia) 1 Apr 2020 “Hungary’s Authoritarian Takeover Puts European Union at Risk” https://www.hrw.org/news/2020/04/01/hungarys-authoritarian-takeover-puts-european-union-risk
10. Kenneth Roth 2020 (executive director of Human Rights Watch, one of the world's leading international human rights organizations; former federal prosecutor in New York; graduate of Yale Law School) 27 Apr 2020 “Stopping the Authoritarian Rot in Europe” https://www.hrw.org/news/2020/04/27/stopping-authoritarian-rot-europe#
11. Julian Bonte-Friedheim 2020 (head writer at The Perspective) “IS THE EU BETTER OFF DIVIDED OR TOGETHER?” https://www.theperspective.com/debates/businessandtechnology/is-the-eu-better-off-divided-or-together/
12. Hans Vollaard 2020 ( Lecturer in Dutch and European Politics at Utrecht University, the Netherlands.) “One down, many to go? European disintegration after Brexit” https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/brexit/2020/03/23/one-down-many-to-go-european-disintegration-after-brexit/
13. Mauro Guillen 2016 (holder of the Zandman Endowed Professorship in International Management at the Wharton School. He served as Director of the Lauder Institute of Management & International Studies between 2007 and 2019. He received a PhD in sociology from Yale University and a Doctorate in political economy from the University of Oviedo in Spain.) “On the Brink: How a Brexit Could Fracture a Fragile Europe” https://knowledge.wharton.upenn.edu/article/on-the-brink-how-brexit-could-fracture-a-fragile-europe/
14. Kimberly Amadeo 2020 (over 20 years of senior-level corporate experience in economic analysis and business strategy. She is a U.S. Economy expert for The Balance and president of WorldMoneyWatch, which produces publications about the global economy) “Brexit Consequences for the U.K., the EU, and the United States” https://www.thebalance.com/brexit-consequences-4062999